MONTEREY COUNTY ZONING ADMINISTRATOR

Meeting: October 26, 2006	Time: 9:50 AM	Agenda Item No.:			
Project Description : Administration	tive Permit and Des	ign Approval for the construction of a 9,940			
square foot single family dwelling with an attached 1,076 square foot four-car garage, an					
attached 1,053 square for	oot caretaker's unit	, an attached 3,617 square foot gym and			
associated grading (350 cu	abic yards cut and 3	50 cubic yards fill). The property is located			
on parcel "Q" in the Las F	Palmas Subdivision,	Salinas (Assessor's Parcel Number 139-211-			
035-000), south of River R	Road and west of Las	s Palmas Road, Toro Area.			
Project Location : Parcel "Q" in t	he Las Palmas	APN: 139-211-035-000			
Subdivision, Salinas					
Planning File Number: PLN060	121	Name: Samuel and Linda Persall			
Plan Area: Toro Area Plan		Flagged and staked: Yes			
Zoning Designation: : MDR/2.6	1-D & O-D: Mediu	m Density Residential, 2.61 units/acre with			
Design Control, and Open Space	Design Control, and Open Space with Design Control				
CEQA Action : Categorically Exe	empt per Section 153	303 (a)			
Department: RMA - Planning D	epartment				

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Zoning Administrator approve the Persall Administrative Permit and Design Approval based on the Findings and Evidence (**Exhibit C**) and subject to the recommended Conditions (**Exhibit D**).

PROJECT OVERVIEW: This project involves the construction of a single family dwelling, with an attached caretaker unit, garage, and gym. With a combined total of 15,686 square feet of structures, the project is larger than existing residential development on other properties in the Las Palmas Subdivision. The 15.67 acre lot is also considerably larger than other residential lots in Las Palmas, which average 6,000 square feet. The project meets all zoning requirements, including lot coverage, height and setbacks. The project is not located on the crest of a hill, and therefore would not result in ridgeline development. The staking for the proposed project is visible behind the existing Eucalyptus grove when viewed from the Highway 68 Scenic Corridor. The parcel is designated in the Toro Area Plan as visually sensitive. Monterey County policies require architectural and landscaping controls and sensitive site design to protect the scenic qualities of area. To conform to County policies, the project has been modified extensively. Design modifications include lowering the building pad for the proposed residence by six feet, lowering the building pad for the proposed gym by ten feet, and using earth tone materials and colors. The project would be screened with multi-level landscaping. Several large landscaped berms would be installed and planted with shrubs native to the Toro area. Large 24" box live oaks, 15 gallon oaks, 5 gallon oaks, and other native trees would be planted behind the berms. Once installed, the proposed landscaping would screen the majority of the project from view from Highway 68, and should completely screen the proposed project from view from Highway 68 within 10 years. The landscaping would be monitored on an on-going basis to ensure its longterm health and survival. The planted area between the project and River Road would be placed in a scenic easement to ensure permanent screening for the project, permanently protect additional contiguous open space, and potential wildlife habitat. Those portions of the property where the slope exceeds 30%, primarily in the eastern portion of the lot, would also be placed in a scenic easement. The project, as described and conditioned, is consistent with the Toro Area Plan, the Las Palmas Specific Plan and all applicable County of Monterey policies and regulations. No unusual circumstances, unresolved issues, or adverse environmental impacts were identified during project review.

CEQA Guidelines \$15303 (a) categorically exempts the new construction of small structures, including one single family residence and accessory structures in a residential zone. The geotechnical investigation prepared for this project, the site visit by planning staff on May 24, 2006, and review of the project by planning staff did not identify any unusual circumstances that would indicate any potential adverse environmental impacts. An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for the Las Palmas Specific Plan on December 7, 1982. The proposed project complies with all mitigation measure and standards within the EIR. The geotechnical investigation prepared for this project, the site visit by planning staff on May 24, 2006, and review of the project by planning staff did not identify any unusual circumstances that would indicate any potential adverse environmental impacts. No unresolved issues remain.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT:

- ✓ Salinas Rural Fire Protection District
- ✓ Public Works Department
- ✓ Environmental Health Division
- ✓ Water Resources Agency

The above checked agencies and departments have reviewed this project. Conditions recommended by Water Resources Agency, Salinas Rural Fire Protection District, Environmental Health and the Planning Department have been incorporated into the recommended conditions of approval (**Exhibit D**).

The project was referred to the Toro Land Use Advisory Committee (LUAC). On August 14, 2006 the Toro LUAC recommended denial of the project on a 5 to 2 vote (**Exhibit E**). The LUAC expressed concerns regarding the size of the proposed project, the effectiveness of the proposed landscape screening, potential problems with drainage, erosion, landslides, fire, lighting, potential ridgeline development, and concerns that the project would be used as an adjunct to the Corey House. See the discussion section for an analysis (**Exhibit B**).

Note: The decision on this project is appealable to the Planning Commission.

Annie Murphy
(831) 755-5228, murphya@co.monterey.ca.us
September 28, 2006

cc: Zoning Administrator; Salinas Rural Fire Protection District; Public Works Department; Environmental Health Division; Water Resources Agency; Bob Schubert; Annie Murphy; Carol Allen, Linda and Samuel Persall (Owners); Anatoly Ostretsov (Agent); Planning File PLN060121.

Attachments: Exhibit A Project Data Sheet

Exhibit B Discussion

Exhibit C Recommended Findings and Evidence Exhibit D Recommended Conditions of Approval

Exhibit E LUAC minutes
Exhibit F Public Comments

Exhibit G Site Plan, Elevations, Floor Plans

Exhibit H Proposed scenic easement

This report was reviewed by Bob Schubert, Acting Planning and Building Services Manager

EXHIBIT B DISCUSSION PLN060121/ Persall October 26, 2006

Proposed Project

The owners of the property, Samuel and Linda Persall, are requesting permits to construct a new two-story 9,940 square foot single-family residence with an attached 590 square foot garage, an attached 1,053 square foot caretaker's unit, an attached 3,617 square foot gym; and associated grading required for constructing the building pad below grade (350 cubic yards cut and 350 cubic yards fill). Monterey County Code (Title 21) requires an Administrative Permit and Design Approval for the caretaker unit, and Design Approval for the residence and gym. A significant area of the parcel would be dedicated as a scenic easement. Due to public controversy, the project was referred to the Zoning Administrator for a public hearing per Title 21.

Site & Setting

The subject parcel is a 15.67 acre lot located in the Las Palmas Subdivision (parcel Q). The parcel is located approximately 100 feet southwest of River Road, and approximately 1/2 mile southeast of the intersection of Highway 68 and River Road. Residential lots within Las Palmas border the property to the east, open space parcels border the southern property boundary, an open space parcel borders the parcel to the north between the parcel and River Road, and open space land borders the western property boundary. There is an existing access road on the property. The parcel is located on a north-facing hillside. The parcel is not located on the crest of a hill. The central portion of the lot where the majority of development would take place is relatively flat. West of the flat area the land slopes upward with 20% to 25% slopes, and slopes steeply downward to the east, with slopes ranging from 30% to 50%. Approximately 65 clusters of Eucalyptus trees occur on the surveyed portions of the property, with the majority of Eucalyptus occurring on the northern and western portions of the property, between the proposed development and River Road and Highway 68. Other than the Eucalyptus and one large Monterey cypress, the vegetation on the parcel consists primarily of non-native annual grasses. The EIR prepared for the Las Palmas Subdivision did not identify any sensitive habitat on the site. The vegetation surrounding the parcel consists primarily of grassland, with native vegetation consisting primarily of a large grove of live oaks and California buckeye to the north and northwest of the parcel.

Toro Land Use Advisory Committee

The Toro LUAC initially considered the project on June 26, 2006. The project was continued to the LUAC hearing on August 14, 2006 when the applicant could be present. The LUAC recommended denial of the project on a 5 to 2 vote at the August 14th hearing. Planning staff recognizes that there is considerable public controversy regarding this project. However, as outlined below, staff has evaluated the LUAC concerns and determined that the project as described and conditioned is consistent with Monterey County policies. Where supported by County policies, the project has been modified or conditioned to respond to LUAC concerns.

1) The size and scale of the proposed project is not proportionate to other homes in Las Palmas. *Staff Response*:

The size and scale of the proposed project, with a total of 15,686 square feet of structures, is considerably larger than existing residential development on other lots within Las Palmas. However, the 15.67 acre subject parcel is approximately 113 times larger than the average 6,000 square foot Las Palmas lot. With an overall lot coverage of approximately two percent, the scale of the proposed project is in proportion with the 15.67 acre lot size. Historically, Monterey

County has regulated the size of residential projects by limiting overall lot coverage, and evaluating the project for consistency with other County policies. Lot coverage for the proposed project is well below the allowed lot coverage of 25% for Medium Density Residential zoning districts. The project meets all other zoning requirements, including setbacks.

2) Views of the project from the Highway 68 scenic corridor

Staff Response: See the discussion under "Visual Analysis".

3) The effectiveness of the proposed landscape screening

Staff Response:

Once installed, the proposed landscaping would screen the majority of the project from view from Highway 68, and should completely screen the proposed project from view from the Highway 68 corridor within 10 years. As required by condition #6 (Exhibit D), a mix of native oaks and other native trees and shrubs would be planted to provide dense coverage from ground level to ultimately reach a height of 25 feet when viewed from the Highway 68 scenic corridor. The existing eucalyptus would provide additional screening. Several large landscaped berms would be installed and planted with native shrubs. Large 24" box live oaks, as well as smaller 5 gallon and 15 gallon oaks, would be planted between the berms and the proposed project. Planting a variety of trees sizes would allow for the survival of those trees best adapted to site conditions. The landscaping would be monitored on an on-going basis to ensure its long-term health and survival. After a period of five years, replanting would be required for any shrubs or trees that fail to survive or remain healthy. The planted area between the project and River Road and Highway 68 would be placed in a scenic easement to ensure permanent screening for the project, permanently protect additional contiguous open space and potential wildlife habitat.

4) Potential fire danger posed by Eucalyptus trees

Staff Response:

Salinas Rural Fire has determined that the project as conditioned meets all fire requirements. Paul Pilotte with the Salinas Rural Fire Department visited the site on October 4, 2006, and determined that the eucalyptus trees on the property do not present any additional fire hazard.

5) Potential for erosion and landslides related to location of berms adjacent to hillside and placement of trees on berms

Staff Response:

Condition #6 (Exhibit D) requires that the landscape berms be a located a minimum of 20 feet from the hillside, and that berms be planted with shrubs rather than trees to maintain berm stability. Berms must be designed by a civil or geotechnical engineer. Condition #3 requires that all slopes exposed during the course of construction be covered, seeded, or otherwise treated to control erosion. Condition #12 requires a drainage plan prepared by a registered civil engineer or architect addressing on-site and off-site impacts.

6) Lighting

Staff Response:

Condition #7 (Exhibit D) requires that all exterior lighting be downlit amber bulbs, and that the number of lighting fixtures be limited to that required for safety only.

7) Potential ridgeline development

Staff Response:

The hills to the south of the parcel provide a backdrop for the proposed project when viewed from Highway 68. The parcel is located on a north-facing hillside, with a large relatively flat area in the center portion of the parcel where the proposed development would be located. The project is not located on the crest of a hill, and the staking for the proposed project does not silhouette

against the sky. The project would not be considered ridgeline development, pursuant to the definition of ridgeline development in Title 21.06.950.

8) Concerns that the project would be used as an adjunct to the Corey House Staff Response:

The proposed development could not be used as an adjunct to the Corey House, because public events are not an allowed use within Medium Density Residential Zoning Districts under the Monterey County Zoning Ordinance (Title 21, Chapter 21.12). Any public events would be a violation of the Zoning Ordinance.

Visual analysis

While traveling on the Highway 68 scenic corridor on the Salinas River Bridge between River Road and Speckles Lane, portions of the staking for the proposed residence are visible through groves of Eucalyptus trees on the property. Portions of the staking for the project are also visible from River Road. The Toro Area Plan Visual Sensitivity Map (Figure 9) indicates that the parcel is located in a visually sensitive area. The hills to the south of the parcel provide a backdrop for the proposed project when viewed from Highway 68. The project is not located on the crest of a hill, and the staking for the proposed project does not silhouette against the sky. The project would not be considered ridgeline development, pursuant to Title 21.66.010.

The General Plan, the Toro Area Plan, and the Las Palmas Specific Plan contain policies to protect the scenic quality of areas visible from the Highway 68 scenic corridor and areas that are visually sensitive according to the Toro Area Plan. As outlined below, the project complies with all policies in the General Plan, the Toro Area Plan, and the Las Palmas Specific Plan for reducing visual impacts.

Policy 26.1.6.1 in the Toro Area Plan, and Policy 40.2.1 in the General Plan, require that additional landscape controls be implemented for projects within the Highway 68 Scenic Corridor, and encourage the use of native plants. Within the Las Palmas Specific Plan, Design and Sensitivity Policy I.7 requires informal massing or irregularly spaced trees to screen development. Consistent with these policies, this project will include extensive landscaping using native shrubs and trees to screen the proposed development. Condition #6 (Exhibit D) requires the use of native shrubs and the use of at least 50 native trees consisting primarily of 24" box native live oaks to provide immediate screening. In addition, condition #6 and requires locating shrubs and trees a significant distance from the Eucalyptus to avoid interfering with the plant growth and development. Consistent with Design and Sensitivity Policy I.3.D, the project retains the existing significant trees on the site. Condition #8 requires that a monitoring contract be implemented to ensure the long-term health and success of the existing and planted landscape screening. Condition #8 requires placing the landscaped area within a permanent conservation easement. Condition #8 also requires that slopes on the parcel greater than 30% be placed in a scenic easement, consistent with Policy 26.1.10 in the General Plan. The landscape screening should immediately reduce the visual impacts of the proposed project, and screen the majority of the project from view within the Highway 68 corridor within 10 years, thereby protecting scenic resources.

To regulate development and protect visual resources within the scenic corridor, Policy 26.1.6.1 in the Toro Area Plan requires that proposed development in areas of visual sensitivity be reviewed critically for building design and siting. Policy 40.2.1 in the General Plan requires sensitive treatment provisions within the scenic corridor, including the use of architectural controls and siting. Within the Las Palmas Specific Plan, Design and Sensitivity Policy I.4 requires natural materials and earth tone colors on exterior surfaces. Consistent with these policies, the project

incorporates the use of earth tone colors which mimic the color of the natural surroundings. At the recommendation of planning staff, the building pads for the proposed structures were lowered from 5 to 6 feet to reduce the overall height and mass of the proposed structures. The structures are sited away from the portion of the parcel closest to Highway 68, reducing the visual impact of the proposed development from the scenic corridor.

In addition to being consistent with Monterey County policies regulating development within the scenic corridor, the project is also consistent with the visual policies in the EIR for Las Palmas Ranch Specific Plan. Parcel Q is designated on Figure E of the Las Palmas Ranch Specific Plan for medium density residential development. Because the analysis of visual impacts within the EIR were based on a much higher density of development than would occur with this project, the visual impacts of the proposed project would likely be much less than those anticipated in the EIR. The EIR anticipates unavoidable cumulative visual impacts to the rural character of the Toro area as a result of the Las Palmas Ranch. The EIR states: "Highway 68 is a designated scenic route, and River Road passes through a largely rural and open area; conversion of adjacent undeveloped lands to residential use could significantly alter viewsheds." Mitigations for this unavoidable cumulative impact include providing a 50' wide landscaped setback along River Road, and employing architectural controls. Consistent with these mitigations, the project does not disrupt the screening along River Road and in fact adds considerable additional screening that would be placed into a permanent scenic easement. The project has also gone through extensive design modification to reduce visual impacts. The project as conditioned also complies with the Conservation and Open Space policies in the Las Palmas Specific Plan, including informal massing of trees to screen development, the use of unobtrusive building materials and finishes, prohibiting development on ridgelines, and designating a proportionate amount of open space relative to housing.

CEQA

An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for the Las Palmas Specific Plan on December 7, 1982. The proposed project complies with all mitigation measure and standards within the EIR. CEQA Guidelines §15303 (a) categorically exempts the new construction of small structures, including one single family residence and accessory structures in a residential zone. The geotechnical investigation prepared for this project, the site visit by planning staff on May 24, 2006, and review of the project by planning staff did not identify any unusual circumstances that would indicate any potential adverse environmental impacts. Grading for this project is limited to that required for the construction of the building pad below grade: 350 cubic yards cut and 350 cubic yards fill. The project complies with Monterey County policies regulating development within the scenic corridor. In accordance with Monterey County policies recommending voluntary dedication of land as open space within the scenic corridor and requiring dedication of scenic easement on slopes greater than 30%, the property owners will dedicate a scenic easement on the property to permanently protect open space. No unresolved issues remain.

EXHIBIT C RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND EVIDENCE

- 1. **FINDING: CONSISTENCY** The project, as described in Condition No. 1 and as conditioned, conforms to the policies, requirements, and standards of the Monterey County General Plan, Toro Area Plan, Las Palmas Specific Plan, and the Monterey County Zoning Ordinance (Title 21), which designates this area as appropriate for development.
 - **EVIDENCE:** (a) The text, policies, and regulations in the above referenced documents have been evaluated during the course of review of applications. No conflicts were found to exist. No communications were received during the course of review of the project indicating any inconsistencies with the text, policies, and regulations in these documents.
 - (b) The property is located at Parcel "Q" in the Las Palmas Subdivision, Salinas (Assessor's Parcel Number 139-211-035-000), Toro Area Plan. The parcel is zoned MDR/2.61-D & O-D: Medium Density Residential, 2.61 units/acre with Design Control, and Open Space with Design Control. Development will occur only on the portions of the parcel designated as Medium Density Residential. The subject property complies with all the rules and regulations pertaining to zoning uses and any other applicable provisions of Title 21, and is therefore suitable for the proposed development.
 - (c) The project planner conducted site inspections on May 12, 2006 and June 19, 2006 to verify that the project on the subject parcel conforms to project plans in file PLN060121.
 - (d) The application, project plans, and related support materials submitted by the project applicant to the Monterey County RMA Planning Department for the proposed development found in Project File PLN060121.
- 2. **FINDING: SITE SUITABILITY** The site is physically suitable for the use proposed. **FVIDENCE:** (a) The project has been reviewed for site suitability by the following
 - **EVIDENCE:** (a) The project has been reviewed for site suitability by the following departments and agencies: RMA Planning Department, Salinas Rural Fire Protection District, Public Works, Environmental Health Division, and Water Resources Agency. There has been no indication from these departments/agencies that the site is not suitable for the proposed development. Conditions recommended have been incorporated.
 - (b) The Geotechnical Report and the Final EIR prepared for the Las Palmas Ranch Specific Plan indicate that there are not physical or environmental constraints that would indicate that the site is not suitable for the use propose. The following reports have been prepared:
 - "Final Environmental Impact Report for the Las Palmas Ranch Specific Plan (EIR 80-100), prepared by Grunwald, Crawford and Associates, Hanford, CA, 1982.
 - "Geotechnical Soils-Foundation and Geological Hazards Report" prepared by Grice Engineering, Salinas CA, April 2006.
 - (c) Staff conducted site visits on May 12 and June 19, 2006 to verify that the site is suitable for this use.
 - (d) Materials in Project File PLN060121.

- 3. **FINDING: CEQA** (**Exempt**): The project is categorically exempt from environmental review.
 - **EVIDENCE:** (a) California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15303 (Class 3) categorically exempts new construction of limited new small facilities, such as the construction of a single-family home in a residential area.
 - (b) The EIR prepared for the Las Palmas Ranch Specific Plan includes development of the subject parcel in its review. Mitigation measures identified in the EIR for reducing impacts to visual resources and wildlife have been incorporated in the project as designed and conditioned.
 - (c) No adverse environmental effects were identified during staff review of the development application during site visits on May 12 and June 19, 2006
 - (d) See preceding and following findings and supporting evidence.
- 4. **FINDING: NO VIOLATIONS -** The subject property is in compliance with all rules and regulations pertaining to zoning uses, subdivision, and any other applicable provisions of the County's zoning ordinance. No violations exist on the property. Zoning violation abatement costs, if any, have been paid.
 - **EVIDENCE:** (a) Staff reviewed Monterey County RMA Planning Department and Building Services Department Monterey County records and is not aware of any violations existing on subject property.
- 5. **FINDING: HEALTH AND SAFETY -** The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the project applied for will not under the circumstances of this particular case be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, morals, comfort, and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of such proposed use, or be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements in the neighborhood or to the general welfare of the County.

EVIDENCE: (a) Preceding findings and supporting evidence.

- **6. FINDING: VISUAL SENSITIVITY** The project as described and conditioned is consistent with Policy 26.1.6.1 in the Toro Area Plan, which requires that development in areas near the Highway 68 Scenic Corridor designated as Visually Sensitive on the Toro Visual Sensitivity map will not adversely affect the scenic beauty of the area.
 - **EVIDENCE:** The project has been modified to be consistent with the following policies that require additional land use controls to regulate development within the scenic corridor, and in doing so protects the scenic value of the area.
 - (a) **Landscaping:** Policy 26.1.6.1 in the Toro Area Plan, and Policy 40.2.1 in the General Plan, requires that landscape controls be implemented for projects within the Highway 68 Scenic Corridor, and encourages the use of native plants. Within the Las Palmas Specific Plan, Design and Sensitivity Policy I.7 requires informal massing or irregularly spaced trees to screen development. The project has been conditioned to require the use of native shrubs and the use of 24" box native live oaks to provide immediate screening, and the locating the plants a significant distance from the Eucalyptus to avoid interfering with the plant growth and development. Consistent with Design and Sensitivity Policy I.3.D, the project retains the existing significant trees on the site. Condition #6

(Exhibit D) requires that a monitoring contract be implemented to endure the long-term health and success of the existing and additional landscape screening. Condition #8 requires placing the landscaped area within a permanent conservation easement. The landscape screening as outlined in condition #6 should when planted immediately reduce the visual impacts of the proposed project, and screen the majority of the project from view within the Highway 68 corridor within 10 years, thereby protecting the scenic resources of the site.

- (b) **Siting and Design:** To regulate development and protect visual resources within the scenic corridor, Policy 26.1.6.1 in the Toro Area Plan requires evaluating the siting of buildings, and Policy 40.2.3 in the General Plan requires the use of architectural controls and siting. Within the Las Palmas Specific Plan, Design and Sensitivity Policy I.4 requires natural materials and earth tone colors on exterior surfaces. Consistent with these policies, the project incorporates the use of earth tone colors which mimic the color of the natural surroundings. The building pads for the proposed structures were lowered from 5 to 6 feet to reduce the overall height and mass of the proposed structures. The structures are sited away from the portion of the parcel closest to Highway 68, reducing the visual impact of the proposed development from the scenic corridor.
- **7. FINDING: OPEN SPACE** The project is consistent with policy 40.2.2 in the Monterey County General Plan, which states that where land is designated for development at a density which would diminish scenic quality, the owner shall be encouraged to voluntarily dedicate a scenic easement to protect the scenic corridor.

EVIDENCE: Project condition # 8, Exhibit D requires that a scenic easement shall be conveyed to the County over those portions of the property where the slope exceeds 30 percent, and for the northern portion of the property starting 100 feet from the edge of the gym and extending to the Northern property boundary to protect views from the scenic corridor.

8. FINDING: APPEALABILITY - The decision on this project is appealable to the Planning Commission.

EVIDENCE: Section 21.80.040 B of the Monterey County Zoning Ordinance (Title 21).

Planning and Building Inspection Department Condition Compliance & Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program*

Project Name: Persall

File No: PLN060121 APN: 139-211-035-000

Approval by: Zoning Administrator Date: October 26, 2006

^{*}Monitoring or Reporting refers to projects with an EIR or adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration per Section 21081.6 of the Public Resources Code.

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
1.		PBD029 - SPECIFIC USES ONLY The Administrative Permit (PLN060121) and Design Approval allows for the construction of an attached 1,053 square foot Caretaker's unit, and the Design Approval allows for the construction of a 9,940 square foot single family dwelling with an attached 1,076 square foot four-car garage, an attached 3,617 square foot gym; and associated grading (350 cubic yards cut and 350 cubic yards fill). The property is located on parcel "Q" in the Las Palmas Subdivision, Salinas (Assessor's Parcel Number 139-211-035-000), south of River Road and west of Las Palmas Road, Toro Area. This permit was approved in accordance with County ordinances and land use regulations subject to the following terms and conditions. Neither the uses nor the construction allowed by this permit shall commence unless and until all of the conditions of this permit are met to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning. Any use or construction not in substantial conformance with the terms and conditions of this permit is a violation of County regulations and may result in modification or revocation of this permit and subsequent legal action. No use or construction other than that specified by this permit is allowed unless additional permits are approved by the appropriate authorities. (RMA – Planning Department)	Adhere to conditions and uses specified in the permit.	Owner/ Applicant	Ongoing unless otherwise stated	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
2.		PBD025 - NOTICE-PERMIT APPROVAL The applicant shall record a notice which states: "A permit (Resolution) was approved by the Zoning Administrator for Assessor's Parcel Number 139-211-035-000 on October 26, 2006. The permit was granted subject to 20 conditions of approval which run with the land. A copy of the permit is on file with the Monterey County Planning and Building Inspection Department." Proof of recordation of this notice shall be furnished to the Director of Planning and Building Inspection prior to issuance of building permits or commencement of the use. (RMA – Planning Department)	Proof of recordation of this notice shall be furnished to PBI.	Owner/ Applicant	Prior to Issuance of grading and building permits or start of use.	
3.		PBD011 - EROSION CONTROL PLAN AND SCHEDULE The approved development shall incorporate the recommendations of the Erosion Control Plan as reviewed by the Soils Conservation Service and the Director of Planning and Building Inspection. All cut and/or fill slopes exposed during the course of construction be covered, seeded, or otherwise treated to control erosion during the course of	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Applicant	Prior to Issuance of Grading and Building Permits	
	construction, subject to the approval of the Director of Planning and Building Inspection. The improvement and grading plans shall include an implementation schedule of measures for the prevention and control of erosion, siltation	2) Evidence of compliance with the Implementation Schedule shall be submitted to PBI during the course of construction until project completion as approved by the Director of PBI.	Owner/ Applicant	Prior to Final Inspect- ion		
4.		PBD013(A) - GEOTECHNICAL CERTIFICATION Prior to final inspection, the geotechnical consultant shall provide certification that all development has been constructed in accordance with the geotechnical report. (RMA – Planning	Provide certification from geotechnical consultant that all development has been constructed in accordance with the geotechnical report.	Owner/ Applicant	Prior to Final Inspect- ion	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
		Department)				
5.		PBD014 - GRADING-WINTER RESTRICTION No land clearing or grading shall occur on the subject parcel between October 15 th and April 15 th unless authorized by the Director of Planning and Building Inspection. (RMA – Planning Department)	None	Owner/ Applicant	October 15 th to April 15 th	
6.		PBDSP001- LANDSCAPE PLAN AND MAINTENANCE (SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING ONLY) (NON-STANDARD) The site shall be landscaped. At least 60 days prior to occupancy, three (3) copies of a landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Director of Planning and Building Inspection for approval. A landscape plan review fee is required for this project. Fees shall be paid at the time of landscape plan submittal. The landscaping plan shall be in sufficient detail to identify the location, species, and size of the proposed landscaping materials and shall be accompanied by a nursery or contractor's estimate of the cost of installation of the plan. With the exception of landscaping immediately around the house, the landscape shall consist of entirely native species. At least 50 trees shall be planted. At least 75 percent of the trees shall be live oaks, consisting of 50% 24" box, 25% 15 gallon,	estimate to PBI for review and approval.	Owner/ Applicant/ Contractor Owner/ Applicant	At least 60 days prior to final inspection or occupancy Ongoing	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
		and 25% 5 gallon trees. Ten percent of trees shall be California Buckeye. All plants and trees shall be located outside of the Eucalyptus tree canopies. A total of 5 landscape berms shall be installed, and planted with native shrubs. Berms shall be designed by a civil or geotechnical engineer. No trees shall be planted on the berms. The berms shall be located a minimum of 20 feet from any hillside, and shall not be located under the Eucalyptus canopies. The landscape shall be designed to provide a solid screen between the structures and views from Highway 68. Before occupancy, landscaping shall be either installed or a certificate of deposit or other form of surety made payable to Monterey County for that cost estimate shall be submitted to the Monterey County Planning and Building Inspection Department. All landscaped areas and fences shall be continuously maintained by the applicant; all plant material shall be continuously maintained in a litter-free, weed-free, healthy, growing condition. (RMA – Planning Department)	2. Obtain a contract with a certified arborist or ecologist to perform monitoring actions listed under 3 below. Provide copy of contract to the Planning Department for approval. 3. Submit reports to the Director of Planning and Building Inspection for review. Report shall address progress of planted trees. If any of the required trees fail to survive or maintain a healthy condition at the end of five years, the project arborist shall recommend additional planting. Any replanting shall start a new 5-year monitoring period for the additional trees.	Owner/ Applicant Owner/ Applicant	At least 60 days prior to final inspection or occupancy Annually during required monitoring period.	
7.		PBD – LIGHTING – EXTERIOR LIGHTING PLAN (NON-STANDARD) All exterior lighting shall be unobtrusive, down-lit, harmonious with the local area, and constructed or located so that only the intended area is illuminated and off-site glare is fully controlled. Exterior lights shall have recessed lighting		Owner/ Applicant	Prior to Issuance of Grading and/or Building Permits	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
		elements. Exterior lighting shall be limited to that required for safety purposes only. The applicant shall submit 3 copies of an exterior lighting plan which shall indicate the location, type, and wattage of all light fixtures and include catalog sheets for each fixture. The lighting plan shall comply with the requirements of the California Energy Code set for in California code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 6. The exterior lighting plan shall be subject to approval by the Director of the RMA - Planning Department, prior to the issuance of building permits. (RMA - Planning Department)	The lighting shall be installed and maintained in accordance with approved plans.	Owner/ Applicant	On-going	
8.		PBDSP003 – EASEMENT - SCENIC (NON-STANDARD) A scenic easement shall be conveyed to the County over those portions of the property where the slope exceeds 30 percent, and for the northern portion of the property as shown on the scenic easement map (Exhibit H). A driveway shall be allowed within the scenic easement. A scenic easement deed shall be submitted to, and approved by, the Director of Planning prior to issuance of grading or building permits. (RMA - Planning Department)	Submit scenic easement to PBI for approval.	Owner/ Applicant	Prior to Issuance of Grading and Building Permits	
9.		PBD030 - STOP WORK - RESOURCES FOUND If, during the course of construction, cultural, archaeological, historical or paleontological resources are uncovered at the site (surface or subsurface resources) work shall be halted immediately within 50 meters (165 feet) of the find until a qualified professional archaeologist can evaluate it. The Monterey County Planning and Building Inspection Department and a qualified archaeologist (i.e., an archaeologist registered with the Society of Professional Archaeologists) shall be immediately contacted by the responsible individual present on-site. When contacted, the project planner and the archaeologist shall immediately visit the site to determine the extent of the resources and to develop proper mitigation	Stop work within 50 meters (165 feet) of uncovered resource and contact the Monterey County Planning and Building Inspection Department and a qualified archaeologist immediately if cultural, archaeological, historical or paleontological resources are uncovered. When contacted, the project planner and the archaeologist shall immediately visit the site to determine the extent of the resources and to develop proper mitigation measures required for the discovery.	Owner/ Applicant/ Archaeolo- gist	Ongoing	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
		measures required for the discovery. (RMA – Planning Department)				
10		PBD032(B) – TREE AND ROOT PROTECTION Trees which are located close to the construction site(s) shall be protected from inadvertent damage from construction equipment by fencing off the canopy driplines and/or critical root zones (whichever is greater) with protective materials, wrapping trunks with protective materials, avoiding fill of any type against the base of the trunks and avoiding an increase in soil depth at the feeding zone or drip-line of the retained trees. Said protection shall be demonstrated prior to issuance of building permits subject to the approval of the Director of Planning and Building Inspection. (RMA – Planning Department)	Submit evidence of tree protection to PBI for review and approval. Tree preservation specifications listed in the Forest Management Plan shall be printed on the grading and building permit. Installation of the tree preservation zone and straw bale barricades shall be completed prior to the issuance of grading and/or building permits. Submit evidence of tree protection to PBI for review and approval.	Owner/ Applicant	Prior to Issuance of Grading and/or Building Permits	
			CE AGENCY CONDITIONS 31) 755-4860			
11		The applicant shall provide the Water Resources Agency a	Submit 3 copies of the engineered drainage plan to the Water Resources Agency for review and approval.	Owner/ Applicant/ Engineer	Prior to issuance of any grading or building permits	
12		WR40 - WATER CONSERVATION MEASURES	Compliance to be verified by building inspector at final inspection.	Owner/ Applicant	Prior to final building inspect- ion/ occupancy	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
		gallons per minute, and all hot water faucets that have more than ten feet of pipe between the faucet and the hot water heater serving such faucet shall be equipped with a hot water recirculation system.				
		b. Landscape plans shall apply xeriscape principles, including such techniques and materials as native or low water use plants and low precipitation sprinkler heads, bubblers, drip irrigation systems and timing devices. (Water Resources Agency)				
			ENCY CONDITIONS			
10			otection District: (831) 455-1828	1 A 11	Б.	
13		FIRE007 - DRIVEWAYS Driveways shall not be less than 12 feet wide unobstructed, with an unobstructed vertical clearance of not less than 15 feet. The grade for all driveways shall not exceed 15 percent. Where the grade exceeds 8 percent, a minimum structural roadway surface of 0.17 feet of asphaltic concrete	Applicant shall incorporate specification into design and enumerate as "Fire Dept. Notes" on plans.	Applicant or owner	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permit.	
		on 0.34 feet of aggregate base shall be required. The driveway surface shall be capable of supporting the imposed load of fire apparatus (22 tons), and be accessible by conventional-drive vehicles, including sedans. For driveways with turns 90 degrees and less, the minimum horizontal inside radius of curvature shall be 25 feet. For driveways with turns greater than 90 degrees, the minimum horizontal inside radius curvature shall be 28 feet. For all driveway turns, an additional surface of 4 feet shall be added. All driveways exceeding 150 feet in length, but less than 800 feet in length, shall provide a turnout near the midpoint of the driveway. Where the driveway exceeds 800 feet, turnouts shall be provided at no greater than 400-foot intervals. Turnouts shall be a minimum of 12 feet	Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection.	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
		wide and 30 feet long with a minimum of 25-foot taper at both ends. Turnarounds shall be required on driveways in excess of 150 feet of surface length and shall long with a minimum 25-foot taper at both ends. Turnarounds shall be required on driveways in excess of 150 feet of surface length and shall be located within 50 feet of the primary building. The minimum turning radius for a turnaround shall be 40 feet from the center line of the driveway. If a hammerhead/T is used, the top of the "T" shall be a minimum of 60 feet in length. (Salinas Rural Fire District)				
14		FIRE008 - GATES All gates providing access from a road to a driveway shall be located at least 30 feet from the roadway and shall open to allow a vehicle to stop without obstructing traffic on the road. Gate entrances shall be at least the width of the traffic lane but in no case less than 12 feet wide. Where a	Applicant shall incorporate specification into design and enumerate as "Fire Dept. Notes" on plans.	Applicant or owner	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permit.	
		one-way road with a single traffic lane provides access to a gated entrance, a 40-foot turning radius shall be used. Where gates are to be locked, the installation of a key box or other acceptable means for immediate access by emergency equipment may be required (Salinas Rural Fire District)	Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection.	
15		FIRE011 - ADDRESSES FOR BUILDINGS All buildings shall be issued an address in accordance with Monterey County Ordinance No. 1241. Each occupancy, except accessory buildings, shall have its own permanently posted address. When multiple occupancies exist within a single building, each individual occupancy shall be separately identified by its own address. Letters, numbers	Applicant shall incorporate specification into design and enumerate as "Fire Dept. Notes" on plans.	Applicant or owner	Prior to issuance of building permit.	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
		and symbols for addresses shall be a minimum of 4-inch height, 1/2-inch stroke, contrasting with the background color of the sign, and shall be Arabic. The sign and numbers shall be reflective and made of a noncombustible material. Address signs shall be placed at each driveway entrance and at each driveway split. Address signs shall be and visible from both directions of travel along the road. In all cases, the address shall be posted at the beginning of construction and shall be maintained thereafter. Address signs along one-way roads shall be visible from both directions of travel. Where multiple addresses are required at a single driveway, they shall be mounted on a single sign. Where a roadway provides access solely to a single commercial occupancy, the address sign shall be placed at the nearest road intersection providing access to that site. Permanent address numbers shall be posted prior to requesting final clearance. (Salinas Rural Fire District)	Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection	
			Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection.	
16		FIRE016 - SETBACKS All parcels 1 acre and larger shall provide a minimum 30- foot setback for new buildings and accessory buildings from all property lines and/or the center of the road. For parcels less than 1 acre, alternate fuel modification standards or other requirements may be imposed by the	Applicant shall incorporate specification into design and enumerate as "Fire Dept. Notes" on plans.	Applicant or owner	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permit.	
	local fire jurisdiction to provide the same practical effect (Salinas Rural Fire District)	Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection.	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection		

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
17		FIRE017 - DISPOSAL OF VEGETATION AND FUELS Disposal, including chipping, burying, or removal to a landfill site approved by the local jurisdiction, of vegetation and debris caused by site development and construction, road and driveway construction, and fuel modification shall be completed prior to final clearance of the related permit. . (Salinas Rural Fire District)	Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection.	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection	
18		FIRE019 - DEFENSIBLE SPACE REQUIREMENTS - (STANDARD) Remove combustible vegetation from within a minimum of 30 feet of structures. Limb trees 6 feet up from ground. Remove limbs within 10 feet of chimneys. Additional and/or alternate fire protection or firebreaks approved by the fire authority may be required to provide reasonable fire	Applicant shall incorporate specification into design and enumerate as "Fire Dept. Notes" on plans.	Applicant or owner	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permit.	
	safety. Environmentally sensitive areas may require alternative fire protection, to be determined by Reviewing Authority and the Director of Planning and Building Inspection. (Salinas Rural Fire District)	Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection.	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection		
			Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection.	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection	
19		FIRE024 - FIRE ALARM SYSTEM - (SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING) The residence shall be fully protected with an approved household fire warning system as defined by NFPA	Applicant shall enumerate as "Fire Dept. Notes" on plans.	Applicant or owner	Prior to issuance of building permit.	
		Standard 72. Plans and specifications for the household fire warning system shall be submitted by a California licensed C-10 contractor and approved prior to installation.	Applicant shall submit fire alarm plans and obtain approval.	Applicant or owner	Prior to rough sprinkler	

Permit Cond. No.	Mitig. No.	Conditions of Approval and/or Mitigation Measures and Responsible Land Use Department	Compliance or Monitoring Actions to be performed. Where applicable, a certified professional is required for action to be accepted.	Responsible Party for Compliance	Timing	Verification of Compliance (name/date)
		Household fire warning systems installed in lieu of single- station smoke alarms required by the Uniform Building			or framing inspection	
		Code shall be required to be placarded as permanent building equipment. (Salinas Rural Fire District)	Applicant shall schedule fire alarm system acceptance test.	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection	
20	FIRE021 - FIRE PROTECTION EQUIPMENT & SYSTEMS - FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM (STANDARD) The building(s) and attached garage(s) shall be fully protected with automatic fire sprinkler system(s). Installation shall be in accordance with the applicable NFPA standard. A minimum of four (4) sets of plans for fire sprinkler systems must be submitted by a California licensed C-16 contractor and approved prior to installation. This requirement is not intended to delay issuance of a building permit. A rough sprinkler inspection must be scheduled by the installing contractor and completed prior to requesting a framing inspection. (Salinas Rural Fire District)	Applicant shall enumerate as "Fire Dept. Notes" on plans.	Applicant or owner	Prior to issuance of building permit.		
		applicable NFPA standard. A minimum of four (4) sets of	Applicant shall schedule fire dept. rough sprinkler inspection	Applicant or owner	Prior to framing inspection	
		installation. This requirement is not intended to delay issuance of a building permit. A rough sprinkler inspection must be scheduled by the installing contractor and	Applicant shall schedule fire dept. final sprinkler inspection	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection	
		Applicant shall schedule fire dept. clearance inspection	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection		
			Applicant shall schedule fire alarm system acceptance test.	Applicant or owner	Prior to final building inspection	